By Richard W. McManus Staff writer of The Christian Science Monitor

Some ticklish questions about the future of newspapers in Boston have been raised by the federal decision to split the Boston Herald Traveler away from television Channel 5.

The ruling was meant to diversify the Boston news media—that is, to develop independent voices in print and on the airwaves. .

But will it?

First the courtroom issue must finally be settled. On Monday WHDH, Inc., the Herald Traveler subsidiary which is using Channel 5, asked the United States Court of Appeals in Washington to reconsider its decision giving the channel to a rival applicant, Boston Broadcasters, Inc.

In a 14-page petition, WHDH, which has operated Channel 5 for 13 years, asked a full-bench rehearing. The petition asserts, among other things, that the court had failed to consider "serious constitutional questions" raised by the case.

In less legal but more practical terms, the question raised in Boston is that without Channel 5; a prime source of income, the Boston Herald Traveler could find itself in serious financial shape,

## Dominance seen growing

Add to this the growing dominance of the morning Boston Globe, which also produces the city's only evening newspaper, Boston Daily Record and the Evening Amerand the diversity of the written word in ican became the Record American. Boston obviously is diminishing. The Globe has also been drawing the lion's share of papers consolidating or going out of busiadvertising linage.

Louis M. Lyons, veteran TV commentator and former curator of the Nieman Foundation at Harvard University, says the effect Transcript in 1941. all these developments could have on Boston journalism is "anybody's guessing

game."

evitable they [WHDH] will lose [the case]

He anticipates that within a year WHDH will have exhausted all avenue's of appeal States "would refuse to take it on."

Rumors circulate Harold E. Clancy, president of WHDH, values Channel 5 at \$60 million. He says it produces the "great bulk" of the corpora-

tion's earnings. At the same time, he de-

Meanwhile, rumors have begun to circu- to "drive [the Globe] out of business."

late that at least two major newspaper chains have expressed an interest in the charges were designed to stall the FCC de-Herald Traveler. So far, it is indicated, cision and thus protect the Globe's Bostonthese talks have been fruitless.

While the Channel 5 struggle has gone on, penetration. the Boston Globe has moved far ahead in Three years after WHDH first gained its the battle for advertising linage and cir-temporary license, it lost it. In 1960 a

Record American, 9 million.

The Globe's share of the market has in casting from Channel 5. creased steadily over the past decade, while the Herald Traveler's advertising linage is today slightly less than the combined volume in 1980 of the morning Boston Herald and the afternoon Boston Traveler. The papers merged in 1967.

The Herald Traveler first applied for the television license through its WHDH subsidiary in 1953. In 1957, WHDII-TV was granted a temporary license. This came out of an FCC reversal of a decision by one of its examiners who had decided to grant the license to another applicant.

# Reasons cited

In doing so, the FCC cited reasons, such as "public interest," which in 1969 was part of the reason for taking the license away from WHDH.

In the first instance, the FCC set aside its "diversification" policy (meant to avoid concentrations of broadcast outlets). In the second ruling, the application by Boston Broadcasters was said to better fill the bill of diversifying the Boston media.

But in the years between these two actions, Boston's media have become less. diverse.

In 1957 there were two more newspapers in Boston than there are now. In 1961 the

As part of the national trend of newsness, two other Boston dailies have folded in the past 30 years: the 125-year-old Boston Post in 1956 and the 111-year-old Boston

### Circulation figures

In the past 50 years, the number of dailies Mr. Lyons said in an interview: "It's in- in the country has nosed down 14 percent, while circulation has more than doubled to 62 million.

In Boston, daily circulation figures for 1969 showed the morning and evening Globe leadand that the Supreme Court of the United ing with a total 437,692; Record American, 418,800; and Herald Traveler, 217,678.

Approved For Release 2004/09/03: CIA-RDP88-01314R000100160013-4 to pick up readers and advertising dollars in the past 15 years, despite its expressed concern that the Herald Traveler's ownership of a television station would cause the opposite to occur.

In 1956, when it appeared likely that clines to say whether the Herald Traveler WHDH would gain the license, the Globe is making a profit. He denies emphatically charged that Channel 5 would be operated that the newspaper would be forced out of to further the interests of the Herald Traveler rather than the public interest -- and

> The Herald Traveler rejoined that such area market longer from further television

charge of improper influence in gaining it Last year the Globe captured 22.8 million resulted in the license being withdrawn by Boston lines of advertising, while the Herald Trav- the FCC. The company immediately apeler obtained 15.9 million lines, and the pealed the decision. A final decision has not yet been rendered, but WHDH still is broad-